Klaus-Peter Schröder

Departamento de Astronomia de la
Universidad de Guanajuato, Mexico

Monitoring solar chromospheric emission with TIGRE: are we entering a Dalton Minimum?

Liege, 15.6. 2018

monitoring solar and stellar Ca II chromospheric emission in Guanajuato: the 1.2m robotic telescope TIGRE

co-authors:
Marco Mittag
Jürgen Schmitt
.Dennis Jack.

a first in 2008/9:
an entirely inactive Sun



UV from solar faculae coincides with Ca II K emission

- integral solar irradiation and visual flux change only by 0.1%, no reliable data

- but the output of ultraviolet light (λ=320-200nm) is dominated by active regions and changes by several % (and more)

A good proxy is CaII K emission, forms at about same T_e!



Impact of the solar far-UV light (λ=320-200 nm)

=> more activity = more far-UV = more stratospheric heating

=> less activity = cooler stratosphere = slower jetstream

=> Wider oszillation, less strength of jetstream and NAO,
in winter cold high pressure areas build up, blocking situation

Sunspot number:

Abve/below average
=>

Winters:
moderate

extreme

from:
London Met-Office
Scaiffe et al. 2011



How does a winter blocking situation work?

Despite stratosphere being cooler by only 1° at low solar activity, weaker and wider oszillating jetsream cannot move cold bubbles as easily as strong jetstream in high solar activity



Ca II K chromospheric line emission as a proxy to chromospheric UV-fluxes:

problem: tiny !!

advantage: ground-based observ. since decades, photospheric model provides accurate scale for surface flux



The Mt. Wilson S-index to measure the CaII line emission:

$$S = const.\ (F_H + F_K) / (F_R + F_V)$$

1 Angstr. wide line cores H&K / 20 Angstr. wide quasi-continua, as such S is independent of transparency. Calibration by standard stars.

Hence, S is of the order of the line core intensity over cont. intensity
Modern spectra: const. ca. 19, star-calibrations needed.

Advantage: S is independent of sky quality and calibration lamps, best detection of even the smallest emission in the CaII core.
Disadvantage: S does not directly compare with modern line fluxes!

Wider context: we continue O.C. Wilson's work

- *monitoring the Ca II K chromospheric emission variability, by „S-index" = a measure relative to pseudo-continuum*

- *sample: over 100 stars brighter than 7 mag, spectral type F-K, plus about 40 cool giants of different activity degrees*

- *includes „the Sun as a star" via moonlight spectra !*

From: Baliunas et al. 1995





S-index of Mt. Wilson project stars & the Sun



In 2008/9, the Sun reached the basal flux of „dead“ stars !



But: then came the unusual minimum of 2008/09, TIGRE, and the PhD of Marco....!



...THIS is, how the Sun looked like in Maunder Minimum!!

the basal-flux Sun:

NO active regions at all!
=> any basal heating is not from activity!
=> mechanical / dissip. of accoustic waves ?

And where does all the magnetic fine-structure come from ?!!

~2% of convect. energy is converted into random fluxtubes (by a „local dynamo“, see Vögler & Schüssler 2007) and into the minimal X-ray flux (Schmitt ´97)

The historic work of O.C. Wilson et al., Mt.Wilson /CA



Chromospheric emission of cycle 24 is only <30% of previous maxima



Other activity indicators and what they mean:

Re (sunspot number, since nearly 200 yrs):
strong magnetic field through the photosphere

F10.7 (radioflux at λ=10.7cm, since ~50 years):
magnetic field volume in the lower corona

S-value (MWO-calibration, since nearly 50 years):
heating (magn. & mech.) of the chromosphere

related: variation of the far-UV flux (SOLSTICE, since 13 years), responsible for stratospheric heating by photodissipation of molecules

Now all eyes on cycle 24: what is going on?!

Sunspot numbers R and F10.7cm coronal radioflux, both show only about 50% strength of previous cycles



<F10.7> – 65 ~ 0.7 <Re> ; 65 = minimal F10.7 value on entirely inactive days.

Maximum cycle 24 compares with activity in 2003 (mid-decline of cycle 23)

A 30% of previous cycle maxima strength, as of chromospheric emission (S-values) compares to the declined activity of 2005 (not of early 2003 !)



S_basal = 0.150, S_max_av = 0.193: S does not scale ! Goes deeper now


SORCE_200−280nm
Flux at Earth
6.45
6.4
6.35
6.3
6.25
6.2
2004
2006
2008
2010
2012
2014
2016
Far-UV irradiation maximum of cycle 24 maximum is much lower, like S-values, only at the level of 2005.0 (late decline)
S is good proxy: a change of 0.01 in S reflects 1% in far-UV irrad
The effect is comparable to, by PHOENIX, a ΔTeff = -1.5 K (??)
Or: a decline in amplitude AND baselevel as in Ca II emissions

Latest: chromospheric emission is still 2-3 years away from 2008/9 min.



Conclusions:

I) Solar activity cycle 24 appears half as strong as the past 3 cycles when seen by sunspot numbers and F10.7cm

II) Chromospheric emission is EVEN lower than that maximum of cycle 24 at 30% of previous maxima, here is also a lowering of the baselevel (in minimum)

III) The same effect is seen in the far-UV (200-280nm)!

IV) This may be typical of a grand minimum, in which the Sun seems to enter again.

V) The long-term reduced far-UV irradiation (by 1-2%) in a Grandminimum can explain northern hemisphere climate effects such as more cold winters

=> monitor coming minimum and true solar analogues with TIGRE


Much work remains to be done.....
Gracias!

SOLSTICE far-UV flux, chromospheric emission dominates:

today, same level as of 2004 is regained (as before)



The present cycle 24 seen in historic context:

S-Sun from Mt.Wilson and Lick





24

today: 0.17

(TIGRE)

present level as of 2004 or 1987 minimum!

S-Lick-Obs.

basal Ca II K flux level in S (reached on some days in 2008/9)

Inactive Mt.Wilson MS-stars (S < 0.17, near basal) over Z=0.02 evolution tracks, now adjusted for metallicity-differences:
All these stars are over 50% MS-lifetime (- -), most over 75%!
Note: NO evolved/inactive stars < 1 M_sun, age-limited.....



Higly active Mt.Wilson MS-stars (S > 0.25 ... 0.5), Z-adjusted, over Z=0.02 evolution tracks on MS: Very young, scattered around the ZAMS (no surprise)



Moderate, cyclic Mt.Wilson MS-stars (0.17<S < 0.25),
Z-adjusted, over Z=0.02 evolution tracks on MS:
Surprise: mostly less massive than the Sun!! (~50% MS-lifetime)



Moderate, irregular Mt.Wilson MS-stars (0.17<S < 0.25), Z-adjusted, over Z=0.02 evolution tracks on MS: Evolved between 50% and 75% of their MS-lifetime



Comparison with theory of magnetic breaking:

Reiners & Mohanty (2012, ApJ 746) find a relative intrinsic braking efficiency for the angular momentum of MS-stars of

$$dJ/J \sim R^{16/3} M^{-2/3}$$

Since on the MS (solar-type stars) we find $R \sim M^{0.7}$*, and the decay-time tau ~* $(dJ/J)^{-1}$*, this yields*

$$tau \sim M^{-3} \sim tau\_MS\ !$$

Conclusion: ***The solar activity cycle is at its stability limit by BOTH, advanced evolution and by the mass of the Sun (empir. upper limit)***

Evolution tracks for Z=0.02 (left set) and Z=0.01 (right):
Metallicity does matter for HRD position on the MS !
Holmberg et al. 2009 & Geneva-Copenhagen ubvy photom.:
Mt. Wilson stars occupy a range of Z ~ 0.005 ... 0.04 !



The stellar perspective of solar activity:

Inactive Mt.Wilson MS-stars (S < 0.17) over Z=0.02 evolution tracks: more evolved than the Sun (circle)!



S-index of Mt. Wilson project stars & the Sun